

Principals
Christopher P. Finney, Esq.*
Jeffrey G. Scagnero, Esq.†
Peter A. Saba, Esq.*
Puni T. Saba, Esq.*
William J. Pitternan, Esq.
Isaac T. Heintz, Esq.
Sean P. Danovan, Esq.*

* Also admitted in Kantusky
† Also admitted in Plevids

* Also admitted in Michigan
†† Also admitted in Gargia

^ Confifed Labor &

Associates
Sharon J. Sobers, Esq.^
Rebecca N. Algenio, Esq.
James K. Keller, Esq.
Jestica A. Nielsen, Esq.
Patrick R. Veith, Rsq.

Jeffrey M. Nye, Esq.
Joshua B. Bolinger, Esq.

†

Of Counsel Mark H. Klusmeier, Esq. James W. Thompson, Esq. Terrence M. Veith, Esq. Office Locations
Hyde Park
2623 Erie Avenue
Cincinnati OH 46268

Anderson 7373 Beechmont Avenue Suite 100 Cincinnati OH 45230

(513) 533-2700 (513) 533-2999 fea www.FSSP-law.com

Christopher P. Finney
E-Mail Address: CFINNEY@FSSP-LAW.COM
(513) 533-2980 Direct Dial
(513) 553-2990 Direct Fax

April 30, 2010

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RECEIVED
RECEIVED

<u>VIA ORDINARY MAIL</u>

Mr. Jeff S. Jordan, Esq.
Supervisory Attorney, Complaints Examination & Legal Administration FEDERAL ELECTIONS COMMISSION
999 E Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20463

Dear Jeff:

Enclosed herewith is the Answer of COAST Candidates PAC and Mark W. Miller, its Treasurer in this matter.

I send this not as counsel for a member of the "Regulated Community" that the FEC and its bureaucrats think that the citizens of the United States are, but as an attorney for those citizens whose rights are protected from you and the FEC by the First and Fearteersh Assendments to the United States Countitation.

While I do thank you for your courtesies on the phone, I find utterly appalling the cavalier attitude expressed regarding the daily infringement on the rights of my clients who elect to participate in the electoral processes of this fine country.

Having said that, if there is something more I need to do to bring these concerns before the FEC or its seemingly bottomless cadre of bureaucrats paid with our tax dollars, let me know.

By:

Very truly yours,

FINDLY, STAGNARO

SABALA PATTERSON, CO., L.P.A

Christopher P. Finney, Esq.

Enclosures

cc: Mr. Mark W. Miller (w/out enclosures)

FEDERAL ELECTIONS COMMISSION

In the matter of Rand Paul for U.S. Senate

t i

MUR 6270

ANSWER

COAST Candidates PAC ("COAST") and its Treasurer, Mark W. Miller, hereby tender the following Answer to the Complaint transmitted to it by Jeff. S. Jordan, Supervisory attorney, Complaints Examination & Legal Administration.

- 1. First and feremost, COAST asserts its rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 2. Second, fivere is no allegation in the Complaint of any wrongdoing by COAST. The caption of the Complaint is addressing the Rand Paul for Senate Campaign, not COAST. COAST is not a partial purity in the action. Further, the only factual allegations about COAST appear in the eighth numbered paragraph of the complaint. That paragraph concludes: "These failures demonstrate that with respect to these issues Rand Paul for U.S. Senate violated at a minimum 11 C.F.R. §109.21." There is utterly no allegation in the Complaint that COAST violated any law. As such the Complaint should be dismissed as to COAST, if in fact someone deems to the Complaint to be against COAST, which is facially incorrect as there is no allegation that COAST violated any law in there.
- 3. Thus, COAST has not idea veloy the Complaint was transmitted to COAST and why Juff S. Jordan says in his count latter "The Federal Elections Commission received a complaint that indicates that COAST candidates PAC, and you as Treasurer, may have violated the Federal Elections Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ('the ACT')." The Complaint says utterly no such thing.
- 4. As such COAST considers the Complaint to be harassment of COAST and a violation of its First and Pourteenth Amendment rights not by the Complainant, but by the FEC and its bureaucrais.
- 5. COAST is a very small PAC is Cinuinness, Ohio. It raises less than \$20,000 per anners, sometimes much less. The burden of biring an attentey and tailing volunteer time to respond to a Gosspiaint, much less a Complaint that is not against it, and does not

purport to be against it, is deeply offensive, and a waste of our limited and valuable resources.

+ 1

- 6. Further, the inquiry, harassment and threat of prosecution under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as assumed, has a shilling effact on the from spaces rights of CGAST and of every other citizens of this outlook who reight done to participate in free spaces activities.
- 7. Moreover, the effect of this harassment and system, which needlessly threatens citizens who engage in their free speech activities, is to cede the ground of political speech to large trade associations, labor unions and wealthy corporations and individuals who can hire attorneys to endure the endless and petty harassment, questioning and interference in their participation in the marketplace of ideas.
- 8. In shoot, the FEC and its staff should be anharmed of thurnselves for planting COAST and Mr. Miller in the position of needing to respond to this baseless claim of a violation of the Federal Election Act. as annunded.
- The name of our organization is "Coalition Opposed to Additional Spending and Taxes Candidates PAC," shortened to "COAST Candidates PAC."
- 10. The first allegation in the Complaint is that an organization known as "Citizens Organized Aguinst Additional Spending and Taxes" issued a letter dated August 3, 2009 on behalf of or in coordination with Rand Paul for U.S. Senate Campaign.
- 11. The second purmed organization also uses the acromym "COAST."
- 12. While COAST wholeheartedly supports Rand Paul for U.S. Senate, and even moreso agrees with this other organization's fundamental right to do speak in favor of his candidacy without interference from or questioning by the FEC and its bureaucrats, which interference and questioning we see as violations of their rights under the First Amendment and Pourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution (i.e., you should stop doing it in the future), the complaint is misdirected against COAST Candidates PIC.
- 13. COAST Candidates PAC did not issue this inter, aid not pay for the distribution of this latter, did not know about the letter before it was sent or until this Complaint

was filed, and certainly did not coordinate with the Rand Paul for U.S. Senate Campaign in its sending.

F7

- 14. Anyone reading the letter would note that it does not contain a disclaimer that it is paid for by "COAST Candidates PAC," but anthur clearly by another organization: "Citizens Organized Against Additional Spending and Texes," which is distinctly something other than "COAST Candidates PAC."
- 15. The second allegation against COAST is that on December 16, 2009 it issued an e-mail entitled "Money Bomb Today!" attached to the Complaint as Exhibit J. While COAST is offended by the inquiry about its exercise of its free speech rights by the FEC and its Eureaucrats, especially where no Complaint has been mede against COAST, COAST does admit that it did sent the claimed e-mail.
- 16. COAST did not spend any maney to menting the e-mail.

ŧ .;

- 17. Thus COAST did not make a contribution of any sort to the Rand Paul for U.S. Senate Campaign by means of the e-mail, directly, "in kind" or otherwise.
- 18. COAST asserts that it did not speak with, correspond with, e-mail with, or otherwise coordinate with the Rand Paul for U.S. Senate Campaign in the creation, design or sending of the e-mail.

19. As a result, COAST axis that the Complaint be dismissed; that COAST receive an apology for this immissment and interference with its protected First Amendment activities, and that the FEC pay the attorneys fees of COAST in needing to respond to this frivolous accusation of a violation of federal law.

Christopher P. Finney

Attorney for COAST Candidate PAC

and Mark W. Miller

FINNEY, STAGNARO,

SABA & PATTERSON CO., L.P.A.

2623 Erie Avenue

Cincinnati, Ohio 45208

Cfinney@\isp-law.com

(513) 533-2980